arXiv:1407.1529v1 [math.GT] 6 Jul 2014

INFINITELY MANY KNOTS ADMITTING THE SAME INTEGER SURGERY

J. LUECKE AND J. OSOINACH

Abstract. The construction of knots via annular twisting has been used to create families of knots yielding the same manifold via Dehn surgery. Prior examples have all involved Dehn surgery where the surgery slope is an integral multiple of 2. In this note we prove that for any integer n there exist infinitely many different knots in S^3 such that n-surgery on those knots yields the same manifold. In particular, when $|n| = 1$ homology spheres arise from these surgeries. In addition, when $n \neq 0$ the bridge numbers of the knots constructed tend to infinity as the number of twists along the annulus increases.

Dehn surgery on knots is a long-standing technique for the construction of 3-manifolds. While well-known theorems of Lickorish [11] and Wallace [16] state that every orientable 3-manifold can be obtained by Dehn surgery on some link in S^3, this representation is far from unique. In particular, in the Kirby problem list [8], Clark asks the following question:

Problem 3.6(D). Is there a homology 3-sphere (or any 3-manifold) which can be obtained by n-surgery on an infinite number of distinct knots?

In [13], the parenthetical version of this question was answered affirmatively by constructing knots using the method of twisting along an annulus. This method was subsequently developed and refined in [14] to construct knots yielding a small Seifert-fibered manifold; in [15] to describe properties of a toroidal manifold so constructed; and in [2] to analyze the bridge number of the knots constructed. In these examples, however, the surgeries used to construct the manifolds from the knots have all had even integer slopes. In [13] and [15] the surgery slope is 0, and in [9],[14] and [2] the surgery slopes are multiples of 4. An homology sphere results exactly when $|n| = 1$.

This note uses the annular twist construction to create, for each integer n, an infinite family of distinct knots in S^3 such that n-surgery on each knot in the collection yields the same manifold. When $|n| = 1$, the resulting manifold is an homology sphere thereby answering affirmatively Problem 3.6(D) above. The members of each infinite family are distinguished by their hyperbolic volume. Alternatively, at least when $n \neq 0$, the knots in a family are shown to be different by proving that the bridge numbers tend to infinity as the number of twists along the annulus increases.

The Dehn surgeries on a knot, k, in the 3-sphere are parameterized by their surgery slopes. These surgery slopes are described by $p/q \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \infty$, meaning that the slope is a curve that runs p times meridianally and q times longitudinally (using the preferred longitude) along the boundary of the exterior of k. We write $k(p/q)$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M25 , 57M27.
Key words and phrases. Dehn surgery, knot, 3-manifolds.



FIGURE 1. The link $\mathcal{L}$

for the p/q Dehn surgery on k. In this notation, an n-surgery on k refers to the integer surgery $k(n/1)$.

Definition 0.1. Let $\mathcal{L} = k \cup l_1 \cup l_2 \cup l_3$ be the link picture in Figure 1. Let $L(\alpha, \beta, \delta, \gamma)$ be the corresponding Dehn surgery on $\mathcal{L}$. Here the surgery slopes $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \gamma$ will be either in $\mathbb{Q} \cup \infty$, using the meridian-longitude coordinates on the boundary of a knot in S^3 (with a right-handed orientation on S^3), or an asterisk, meaning that no surgery is done on that component and the component is seen as a knot in the surgered manifold.

The main result of this note is the following theorem.

Theorem 0.2. *For integers m, n, $k_n^m = L(*, -1/m, 1/m, -1/n)$ is a knot in S^3. Furthermore $k_n^{m_1}(n)$ is homeomorphic to $k_n^{m_2}(n)$ for any integers m_1, m_2.*

1. *For a fixed $n \neq 0$, the bridge number of k_n^m tends to infinity as m tends to infinity.*
2. *For any integer n there is an $M_n > 0$ such that if $m_2 > m_1 > M_n$ then $k_n^{m_1}$ and $k_n^{m_2}$ are hyperbolic knots with the hyperbolic volume of $k_n^{m_2}$ larger than that of $k_n^{m_1}$.*

In particular, for each integer n there are infinitely many different knots in the family $\{k_n^m\}$.

Proof.

We first show that for any integer n, the n-surgery on each k_n^m yields the same manifold for each m. Figure 2 shows that the knot k is a non-separating, orientation-preserving curve on a twice-punctured Klein bottle, Q, cobounded by l_1 and l_2 and in the complement of l_3.

Thus $Q - \text{Nbhd}(\mathcal{L})$ is a 4-punctured sphere, P, properly embedded in the exterior, $X_{\mathcal{L}}$, of $\mathcal{L}$ in S^3. ∂P has one component on each of $\partial\,\text{Nbhd}(l_1), \partial\,\text{Nbhd}(l_2)$



FIGURE 2. The 2-punctured Klein bottle Q containing k

of slope $0/1$ and two components on $\partial\,\mathrm{Nbhd}(k)$ of slope $0/1$. Let $\widehat{P}$ be the annulus in the exterior of $L(0/1,*,*,*)$ obtained by capping off the two components of P along $\partial\,\mathrm{Nbhd}(k)$. Dehn twisting this exterior along the properly embedded annulus $\widehat{P}$ in $L(0/1,*,*,*)$ (see Remark 0.3), induces a homeomorphism of $L(0/1,-1/m,1/m,-1/n)$ to $L(0/1,1/0,1/0,-1/n)$ for each m,n.

Remark 0.3. Let $\widehat{R}$ be an annulus embedded in a 3-manifold M with $\partial\widehat{R}$ the link $L_1\cup L_2$ in M. Let $R=\widehat{R}\cap(M-\mathrm{Nbhd}(L_1\cup L_2))$. Fix an orientation on M and $\widehat{R}$. This induces an orientation on L_i and its meridian μ_i. Let $\widehat{R}\times[0,1]$ be a product neighborhood of $\widehat{R}$ in M so that the corresponding interval orientation on $R\times[0,1]$ corresponds to the meridian orientation of L_1. Pick coordinates $\widehat{R}=e^{2\pi i\theta}\times[0,1]$, with $\theta\in[0,1]$, so that $e^{2\pi i\theta}\times\{0\},\theta\in[0,1]$, is the oriented L_1. Define the homeomorphism $f_m\colon \widehat{R}\times[0,1]\to\widehat{R}\times[0,1]$ by $(e^{2\pi i\theta},s,t)\to(e^{2\pi i(\theta+mt)},s,t)$. Note that f_n restricted to $\widehat{R}\times\{0,1\}$ is the identity. Assume that the knot K in M intersects $\widehat{R}\times[0,1]$ in $[0,1]$ fibers. Let K^m be the knot in M gotten by applying f_m to $K\cap(\widehat{R}\times[0,1])$ (and the identity on K outside this region). We refer to K^m as K *twisted m times along* $\widehat{R}$, or we say that K^m *is obtained from* K *by twisting along* $\widehat{R}$. Furthermore, note that f_m induces a homeomorphism $h_m\colon M-\mathrm{Nbhd}(L_1\cup L_2)\to M-\mathrm{Nbhd}(L_1\cup L_2)$ by applying f_m in $R\times[0,1]$ along with the identity outside this neighborhood. We refer to this homeomorphism h_m of $M-\mathrm{Nbhd}(L_1\cup L_2)$ as m *Dehn-twisting along the properly embedded annulus* R.

Figure 3 shows an annulus A cobounded by l_1 and l_2 in the complement of l_3 (which can be taken to intersect k algebraically zero and geometrically four times and which induces the framing $0/1$ on each of l_1 and l_2), which becomes an annulus A_n cobounded by l_1 and l_2 after $(-1/n)$-surgery on l_3. Dehn-twisting



FIGURE 3. The annulus A bounded by $l_1 \cup l_2$

the exterior of $l_1 \cup l_2$ in $L(*,*,*,-1/n)$ along A_n (really the restriction of A_n to this exterior, Remark 0.3) induces an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of the manifold $L(1/0,-1/0,1/0,-1/n) = S^3$ to the manifold $L(1/0,-1/m,1/m,-1/n)$. The inverse of this homeomorphism identifies k_n^m as a knot in S^3 obtained by twisting k_n^0 along A_n (see Remark 0.3).

The following claim finishes the argument that the n-surgeries on k_n^m are the same manifold.

Claim 0.4. *For each m, n, $L(0/1,-1/m,1/m,-1/n) = k_n^m(n)$.*

Proof of Claim: $L(0/1,-1/m,1/m,-1/n)$ is clearly a surgery on k_n^m. Our goal is to identify the slope of this surgery, $\alpha(m,n)$, in terms of the coordinates on k_n^m as a knot in S^3. Let P_n be the 4-punctured sphere P after $-1/n$-surgery on l_3. Then $\alpha(0,n)$ is the slope of P_n on k_n^0.

Twisting along A_n gives a homeomorphism of the exterior of $l_1 \cup l_2 \cup k_n^0$ in S^3 to the exterior of $l_1 \cup l_2 \cup k_n^m$ and consequently takes P_n to a 4-punctured sphere P_n^m in the exterior of $l_1 \cup l_2 \cup k_n^m$. The slope $\alpha(m,n)$ is the slope of P_n^m on k_n^m. We may use P_n^m to compute the linking number of the slope $\alpha(m,n)$ with k_n^m and consequently the coordinates of the slope. Orient k_n^m and take the orientation on P_n^m that induces an orientation on $\partial P_n^m \cap \mathrm{Nbhd}(k_n^m)$ that agrees with that on k_n^m. Then twice the linking number of $\alpha(m,n)$ with the oriented k_n^m in S^3 is the negative of the linking number between the oriented k_n^m and $l_1 \cup l_2$, given the orientation induced by P_n^m on $l_1 \cup l_2$. By considering k_n^m as twisting k_n^0 along A_n away from $l_1 \cup l_2$, one sees that this latter linking number is $-2n$ (one may verify that in the $1/0$ surgery on l_3, this linking number is zero, then observe how the linking number changes under $-1/n$-surgery). Thus $\alpha(m,n)$ is the slope $n/1$ as desired.

□ (Claim 0.4)

Claim 0.5. *Let X_n be the exterior of $L(*,*,*,-1/n)$ and T_1, T_2 be the components of ∂X_n coming from $\mathrm{Nbhd}(l_1), \mathrm{Nbhd}(l_2)$ respectively. For each integer $n \neq -2$, the interior of X_n is hyperbolic. For every integer n (including -2), there is no essential annulus properly embedded in X_n with one boundary component on T_1 and the other on T_2.*

Proof of Claim: SnapPy [3] shows that $\mathcal{L}$ is hyperbolic. The program HIKMOT [7] certifies this calculation. The sequence of isotopies Figure 4(a)-(c) shows that l_1 in $L(*,*,*,1/2)$ is a $(2,-1)$-cable on the knot l_1' pictured in Figure 4(d) (the



FIGURE 4. l_1 in $L(*,*,*,1/2)$ is a $(2,-1)$-cable on l_1'

3-manifold H in Figure 4 is a neighborhood of the punctured Klein bottle Q and l_1 is pushed off H). Because the linking number of l_1' with k is one, the exterior of $k \cup l_1 \cup l_2$ in $L(*,*,*,1/2)$ is toroidal. It follows from [4] and [6] that the interior of X_n is hyperbolic as long as $|n+2| > 3$.

For $n \in \{1, 0, -1, -3, -4, -5\}$ SnapPy shows that X_n is hyperbolic and HIKMOT certifies this calculation. Thus the interior of X_n is hyperbolic, and in particular X_n is annular, as long as $n \neq -2$.

We must still show that X_{-2} is anannular. As mentioned above, Figure 4(d) shows that X_{-2} is the union, along a torus T, of the exterior of a $(2,-1)$-cable of the core of a solid torus and the exterior, X'_{-2}, of $l_1' \cup l_2 \cup k$ after $1/2$-surgery on l_3. SnapPy shows X'_{-2} is hyperbolic and HIKMOT certifies this. Now assume there were an essential annulus in X_{-2} between T_1 and T_2, and consider its intersection with the incompressible torus T. We may surger away any closed curves of intersection which are trivial on T. Then an outermost component of intersection with X_2' will give rise to an essential annulus or disk properly embedded in X_2', contradicting the hyperbolicity of X_2'.

□ (Claim 0.5)

We first verify (1) of Theorem 0.2. As before, let A_n be the annulus from Figure 3 cobounded by l_1 and l_2 and after $(-1/n)$-surgery on l_3. The knot k_n^m is obtained by twisting k along A_n (m times) in the copy of S^3 obtained by $(-1/n)$-surgery on l_3. As the linking number of l_1 and l_2 in this copy of S^3 is n, $l_1 \cup l_2$ is not the

trivial link. Then Claim 0.5 along with Corollary 1.4 of [2] shows that for $n \neq 0$ the (genus 0) bridge number of the knots k_n^m in S^3 goes to infinity as m goes to infinity (as the linking number of l_1 and l_2 is non-zero, Lemma 2.4 of [2] shows there is a catching surface for the pair (k, A_n)). Note that since A_0 lies on a Heegaard sphere for S^3, the bridge numbers of $\{k_0^m\}$ will be bounded.

We now verify (2) of Theorem 0.2. By Claim 0.5, the interior of X_n is hyperbolic whenever $n \neq -2$. Thurston's Dehn Surgery Theorem and Theorem 1A of [12], shows that there is an $M_n > 0$ such that for $m > M_n$, k_n^m is hyperbolic and its volume increases monotonically with m. When $n = -2$, recall from the proof of Claim 0.5 that Figure 4(d) shows that X_{-2} is the union, along a torus T, of the exterior of a $(2, -1)$-cable of the core of a solid torus and the exterior, X'_{-2}, of $l'_1 \cup l_2 \cup k$ after 1/2-surgery on l_3. That is, identify $L(*, *, *, 1/2)$ as a link in S^3 by putting two full left-handed twists along the linking circle l_3. Then $L(*, -1/m, 1/m, 1/2)$ corresponds to $(-1 - 2m)/m$ surgery on l_1 and $(1 - 2m)/m$ surgery on l_2. The Seifert fiber on l_1 as a $(2, -1)$-cabling on l'_1 is $-2/1$. As the surgery slope intersects this Seifert fiber slope once, this surgery on l_2 corresponds to doing a $(-1-2m)/4m$ surgery on l'_1 (see Corollary 7.3 of [5]). As noted above, HIKMOT verifies $k \cup l'_1 \cup l_2$ to be hyperbolic. Thus an application of Theorem 1A of [12] to the exterior X_{-2} of this link, shows there is an M_{-2} such that for $m > M_{-2}$, k_{-2}^m is hyperbolic and its volume increases monotonically with m.

Since hyperbolic volume and bridge number are knot invariants, either (1) (when $n \neq 0$) or (2) shows that for an integer n the family $\{k_n^m\}$ is infinite.

□ (Theorem 0.2)

Remark 0.6. SnapPy shows the homology spheres that arise in the above construction ($|n| = 1$) to be hyperbolic manifolds with $volume(k_{-1}^0(-1)) = 3.400436870$ and $volume(k_1^0(1)) = 5.7167678901$. SnapPy shows the manifold corresponding to $n = -2$ to be hyperbolic with $volume(k_{-2}^0(-2)) = 3.110698158$. These calculations are not verified by HIKMOT.

When K is a knot in the 3-sphere, let $W(K, n)$ be the 4-manifold obtained by attaching a 2-handle to the 4-ball along S^3 with framing n. The 3-manifold $K(n)$ is the boundary of $W(K, n)$. In [1], the following analog of Problem 1 is considered.

Problem 2 *Let n be an integer. Find infinitely many mutually distinct knots $K_1, K_2, \ldots$ such that $W(K_i, n)$ is diffeomorphic to $W(K_j, n)$ for each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.*

In [1], such an infinite family of knots is demonstrated when $n \in \{-4, 0, 4\}$. These families of knots are constructed by twisting along an annulus similar to the construction above for the family $\{k_n^m, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Indeed Theorem 0.2 shows that the boundaries of $W(k_n^i, n)$ and $W(k_n^j, n)$ are diffeomorphic. So it is natural to ask

Problem 3 *Let n be an integer. Are $W(k_n^i, n)$ and $W(k_n^j, n)$ diffeomorphic?*

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Kyle Larson for very helpful conversations, and Neil Hoffman for his help with HIKMOT.

References

1. T. Abe, I Jong, Y. Omae, and M. Takeuchi, *Annulus twist and diffeomorphic 4-manifolds*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **155** , Issue 2, (2013), 219-232.

2. Kenneth L. Baker, Cameron McA. Gordon, and John Luecke, *Bridge number and integral Dehn surgery*, arXiv:1303.7018 (2013).
3. M. Culler, N. Dunfield, and J. R. Weeks, *SnapPy, a computer program for studying the geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*, http://snappy.computop.org.
4. C. McA. Gordon, *Boundary slopes of punctured tori in 3-manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350**, No. 5, (1998), 1713-1790.
5. C. McA. Gordon, *Dehn surgery and satellite knots*, Trans. Amer. Math Soc. 275 (1983), 687-708.
6. C. McA. Gordon and Y.Q. Wu, *Toroidal Dehn fillings on hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Memoirs AMS **194**, No. 909, (2008), 1-147.
7. N. Hoffman, K. Ichihara, M. Kashiwagi, H. Masai, S. Oishi, and A. Takayasu, *Verified computations for hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, arXiv:1310.3410 (2013).
8. R. Kirby, *Problems in low-dimensional topology*, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. **2**(2), Geometric topology (Athens, GA, 1993), 35-473 (Amer. Math. Soc. 1997).
9. R. Kouno, *3-manifolds with infinitely many knot surgery descriptions (in Japanese)*, Masters thesis, Nihon University (2002).
10. S. Lee and M. Teragaito, *Boundary structure of hyperbolic 3-manifolds admitting annular and toroidal fillings at large distance*, arXiv:math/0501316v2 (2005).
11. W. B. R. Lickorish, *A representation of orientable combinatorial 3-manifolds*, Ann. of Math **76** (1962), 531-538.
12. W. Neumann and D. Zagier, *Volumes of hyperbolic three-manifolds* Topology **24** (1985), no. 3, 307-332.
13. John K. Osoinach Jr., *Manifolds obtained by surgery on an infinite number of knots in* S^3, Topology **45** (2006), 725-733.
14. M. Teragaito, *A Seifert fibered manifold with infinitely many knot-surgery descriptions*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2007**, no. 9, Art. ID rnm028, 16 pp.
15. M. Teragaito, *Toroidal Dehn surgery on hyperbolic knots and hitting number*, Topology Appl. 157 (2010), no. 1, 269273.
16. A. Wallace, *Modifications and cobounding manifolds*, Can. J. Math. **12** (1960), 503-528.

University of Texas at Austin
E-mail address: luecke@math.utexas.edu

University of Dallas
E-mail address: josoinach@udallas.edu